



SWOT ANALYSIS

Integrating all information of the descriptive analysis and all consultations with stakeholders.

CBJointStrategy

Elaborating strategic programming and project documents for Interreg Programme between Hungary and Croatia for the period 2021-2027

July 28, 2020





Table of contents

1.	Introduction	3
	Demography and spatial structure	
3.	PO1: A smarter Europe	3
4.	PO2: A greener, low-carbon Europe	4
5.	PO3: A more connected Europe	5
6.	PO4: A more social Europe	6
7.	PO5: A Europe closer to citizens	6
8.	ISO: Interreg-specific objective	7

1. Introduction

The following SWOT analysis is part of the situation analysis, taking into consideration the following input and output documents.

- Descriptive analysis;
- Findings of territorial workshops and interviews (summed up in Consultation Process);
- The Border Orientation Paper prepared by the European Commission.

The analysis follows the structure of the policy objectives stipulated in the draft regulation, as it is applied in the descriptive Situation Analysis as well.

2. Demography and spatial structure

Strengths	Weaknesses
Relatively high population density in the Western	Large differences in population density.
part of the Croatian side of the programme	Lack of large urban centres in the programme
area – gateway to Zagreb.	area, small towns dominate the spatial
Colourful nationality pattern throughout.	structure, offering limited services.
Varied landscape, abundance of fertile plains.	Disperse small settlement system.
	Significant decline in population, especially in the
	eastern part of the Croatian side of the
	programme area.
	Ageing population.

3. PO1: A smarter Europe

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Major R&D centres located in university towns, along with smaller innovation centres in smaller urban centres as well. Several clusters and business support institution are operating. Significant performance in agriculture and wider agribusiness in the whole border region. Above-average presence of industry, including manufacturing (timber, metal). Outstanding performance of the tourism sector in some areas. Experience in cross-border cooperation of businesses, through funding schemes. 	 The region's economic performance is characterised by stagnation or decrease. Innovation figures below the national averages, especially poor performance in terms of business-related innovation. Low density of businesses in rural areas. Low number of large enterprises in the region. In rural areas the public sector is overrepresented in generation of value-added. Tourism capacities are concentrated in some specific areas (Balaton).

Opportunities	Threats
Faster growing counties may dynamize peripheral	Acceleration of downgrading of the economy in
areas of the border region.	the central part of the programme area.
Business support infrastructure developed by EU	Decrease in number of livestock and large share of
funding may become a driving force of SMEs'	land not farmed (mine contaminated areas)
cooperation in the border region.	may deteriorate basics of agriculture.
Wine-growing is a significant asset of economic growth.	Lack of real specialisation may lead to competitiveness problems.
Strong presence of the IT industry providing	Negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the
impetus for other branches of the economy as	tourism sector and the economy in general may
well.	lead to closedown of businesses.
Growing interest in rural tourism may extend	
capacities in rural areas as well.	
Increasing attention to "continental tourism" in	
Croatia.	

4. PO2: A greener, low-carbon Europe

Strengths	Weaknesses
Generally favourable environmental conditions throughout the border region.	Air pollution generated by traffic and heating habits, particularly in the area of Pécs.
The river systems of Mur-Drava-Danube represent a strong asset. High afforestation rate.	Energy production on the Drava generate volatile water level and negatively affect biodiversity on the Drava.
Abundance of protected areas on both sides of the border.	Lagging behind in waste management, low value added in circular economy.
High level of access to public water supply utilities. Existing network of organisations dealing with	Water utility gap: sewage treatment lags behind public water supply.
regional energy issues.	Poor energy efficiency parameters of buildings throughout the border region.
	High level of dependency of fossil energy sources.
Opportunities	Threats
Existing cooperation platforms for mitigating climate change, promoted by the European Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR).	Already visible impacts of climate change will become more severe and irreversible. More intensive water energy production or
Existence of strategies on regional level for mitigation of climate change.	extension of plant capacities on the Drava may deteriorate wildlife and biodiversity.
European Green Deal may boost investments in efficient use of resources and promotion of circular economy.	
The Mur-Drava-Danube Biosphere Reserve may generate cooperation platform and synergies in nature protection.	
Good potentials in the utilisation of solar and geothermal energy.	

5. PO3: A more connected Europe

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Improvement in internet access of households. Availability of transcontinental transport routes in the border area (corridors V/b, V/c). International bike routes cross the border area (EuroVelo 6 and 13). Significant development in the cycling infrastructure on both sides of the border. Two operating airports in the region. Availability of ports on the Danube. 	 In spite of good connectivity, use of internet and use of digital services and technologies lag behind the EU average, particularly in Hungary. Bad access to railway infrastructure and service. Horizontal (west-east) connections through the current internal road network is insufficient and suffers from bottlenecks on both sides. Poor permeability of border: low density of border crossings. High concentration of cross-border traffic in the western part of the border area. Decreasing number of passengers in air traffic. Lack of proper navigation infrastructure on the
	rivers.
Opportunities	Threats
Untapped potentials in digitalisation and	Further downgrading of railway service may result
application of digital services.	in irreversible deterioration of the
Strengthening of cross-border mobility along	infrastructure and strengthen depopulation in
railway routes belonging to TEN-T network.	peripheral areas.
Finishing the V/c motorway corridor may intensify	Poor performance of passenger air traffic may
cooperation in the eastern part of the border	lead to closedown of regional airports.
area.	Unsafe situation resulted by the COVID-19
Croatia's access to Schengen may speed up	pandemic may downgrade tourism and
investments in cross-border transport	transport demand.
infrastructure.	Due to COVID-19 pandemic cross-border travel
Areas along European cycling routes may become	restrictions remain in place.
tourism hotspots.	Deterioration of water bodies if port
Development of inland navigation ports may	infrastructure development does not keep
diversify tourism mobility. Growing demand in tourism-related navigation.	pace with growing water tourism demand.

6. PO4: A more social Europe

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Reduction of unemployment rates in the past years, especially in Hungary (prior to COVID-19 pandemic). Low level of early leavers from education in Croatia. Availability of high education institutions in the border area. Relatively favourable figures in access to health care (number of doctors), especially in counties with medical universities. 	 Outward migration negatively affects the labour market. Parallel unemployment and high job vacancy rates in the border region. Dominance of unskilled people among jobseekers. Regarding high education and life-long learning the border region is below the EU average. Decline in the number of students in high education. Lack of language skills on the Hungarian side. Huge concentration of poverty and social exclusion on both sides. Healthcare services below the EU-average standards.
Opportunities	Threats
 Increased participation in adult education. Unlocking labour reserves in marginalised groups, in particular Roma. Utilisation of potentials lying in cross-border health care services, particularly in rural areas. 	 Lack of will and tools for coping with social exclusion may lead to segregation and marginalisation in society. Further decrease in the number of students in high education may lead to tightening of capacities in the border region. Strengthening outward migration of health care personnel may worsen access to and quality of service.

7. PO5: A Europe closer to citizens

Strengths	Weaknesses
Rich cultural heritage in the border area, including	Local festivals are still unknown for the wider
ones protected by the UNESCO or being on the	public.
tentative list.	Remaining gaps in cycling and hiking
High number of alive traditional festivities.	infrastructure.
Local museums throughout the border area.	Thermal spas mainly attract domestic guests.
Rich gastronomy and wine-making tradition.	
Various and attractive landscape.	
Existence of thermal spas popular by domestic tourists.	
Existence of particular artistic features (folk music of minorities, naïve art).	
Long tradition of cultural and artistic cooperation.	

Opportunities	Threats
Unlocking the tourism potential of numerous castles in the border area.	Lack of valorisation of local cultural values and depopulation may make traditions disappear.
Good connectivity with tourism routes (biking and hiking) may reveal tourism potential.	Delay of maintenance and replacement investments in built heritage objects may lead
	to decay.

8. ISO: Interreg-specific objective

Strengths	Weaknesses
Similarities in territorial governance (counties).	Competence asymmetries on medium and local
Active regional development agencies on the Croatian side.	tier of governance, particularly since 2011 public administration reform in Hungary.
Experience with the application of Integrated Territorial Investment (ITI) in Croatia (Osijek).	Lack of tourism coordination bodies (tourism boards) on the Hungarian side.
Experience in community-led local development, existence of LEADER local action groups on	Lack of experience with ITI in Hungary.
both sides.	
Active EGTCs operating in the border area.	
Opportunities	Threats
Strong positions of the two partner countries in coordination of priority axes of the EUSDR. Stronger role of the EGTCs in the new programming period.	Governance asymmetries and stronger role of the state in Hungary may make partnership- building difficult and reduce interest in cross- border cooperation. Due to lack of competence and responsibility, sustainability of EGTCs may be challenged and
	their position weakened.