



### Thematic workshops PO4 – "culture and sustainable tourism"

#### CB Joint Strategy project

György Márton Zoltán Pámer Lóránt Deme



9 April 2021



## Aims of the workshop



- Dissemination about the selected policy objectives (PO) and specific objectives (SO) of the future Interreg Programme Hungary-Croatia 2021-2027;
- Sharing experience of the ongoing Interreg V-A Hungary-Croatia Cooperation Programme – related to the selected thematic fields;
- Collecting valuable input for the in-depth elaboration of the new programme.













## Consultations carried out



- Analysis phase (2020)
  - Online questionnaire survey (Jan-Feb 2020);
  - Stakeholder interviews (10 pax Feb 2020);
  - Territorial workshops (7 counties) in each border county (HU and HR Feb 2020).
- Interim Orientation Paper
- Strategy phase (2021):
  - Online questionnaire survey (Dec 2020–Jan 2021);
  - Stakeholder interviews for strategy and assessment (11 pax Mar 2021);
  - Thematic workshops (4 topics Apr 2021).





### The proposed POs and SOs



| РО   | SO   | Priority   | Components  |
|--|--|--|---|
| PO 1 – a smarter<br>Europe                   | <ul> <li>(i) developing and enhancing research and<br/>innovation</li> <li>(iii) enhancing sustainable growth and competitiveness<br/>of SMEs</li> </ul> | 1. Enhancing innovative<br>business cooperation and cross-<br>border trade | <ul> <li>Fostering cross-border and international trade</li> <li>Joint innovative developments</li> </ul> |
| PO 2 – a greener, low-<br>carbon Europe      | <ul><li>(i) promoting energy efficiency</li><li>(ii) promoting renewable energy</li></ul>  | 2. Greener and low-carbon<br>border region                                 | <ul> <li>Joint energy saving initiatives</li> </ul>   |
| PO 2 – a greener, low-<br>carbon Europe      | <ul><li>(iv) promoting climate change adaptation</li><li>(vii) enhancing protection and preservation of nature</li></ul>                                 |  | <ul> <li>Protection of natural assets</li> </ul>  |
| PO 4 – a more social and inclusive Europe    | <ul><li>(v) enhancing the role of culture and sustainable<br/>tourism</li></ul>  | 3. Economic development based<br>on culture and tourism                    | Development of sustainable nature and culture-<br>oriented tourism of international relevance             |
| PO 4 – a more social and<br>inclusive Europe | <i>(ii) improving access to inclusive and quality services in education</i>  | 4. Raising competitiveness of education                                    | <ul> <li>Joint educational initiatives</li> </ul>   |
| ISO 1 – a better<br>cooperation governance   | <ul> <li>(b) enhance efficient public administration</li> <li>or</li> <li>(f) other actions to support better cooperation governance</li> </ul>          | 5. A cooperative border region   | <ul> <li>Fostering governmental cooperation</li> </ul>  |
| ISO 1 – a better<br>cooperation governance   | (c) build up mutual trust, in particular by encouraging<br>P2P actions   |  | <ul> <li>Supporting civil cooperation</li> </ul>  |







### Lessons learnt from the ongoing Interreg V-A programme



Thematic distribution of projects



Hungary-Croatia Cross-border Co-operation Programme

- Share of funding:
  - ALL TOURISM: 57.5%
  - Cultural, cycling: 17-17%
- Share of projects:
  - ALL TOURISM: 36 out of 126
  - Cultural: 13 out of 126







#### Relative funding of counties by tourism sectors

| Tourism sector     | Međimurska | Varaždinska | Koprivničko<br>-križevačka | -    | Virovitičko-<br>podravska |      | Osječko-<br>baranjska | Vukovarsko-<br>srijemska | Other<br>HR | Somogy | Baranya | Zala | Other<br>HU |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|------|-------------|
| Cultural tourism   | 11,6%      | 8,4%        | 0,0%                       | 0,0% | 12,2%                     | 0,0% | 15,2%                 | 0,2%                     | 20,8%       | 13,6%  | 15,2%   | 0,0% | 2,9%        |
| Cycling<br>tourism | 20,6%      | 3,3%        | 8,1%                       | 0,0% | 10,1%                     | 0,0% | 9,8%                  | 0,0%                     | 10,0%       | 11,1%  | 26,9%   | 0,0% | 0,0%        |
| Ecotourism         | 1,0%       | 0,0%        | 1,7%                       | 0,0% | 24,0%                     | 0,0% | 20,6%                 | 0,0%                     | 11,7%       | 31,1%  | 2,1%    | 7,9% | 0,0%        |
| Gastro<br>tourism  | 1,7%       | 0,0%        | 22,7%                      | 0,0% | 14,9%                     | 0,0% | 12,5%                 | 0,0%                     | 2,7%        | 27,6%  | 18,1%   | 0,0% | 0,0%        |
| Water tourism      | 44,0%      | 0,0%        | 5,7%                       | 0,0% | 0,0%                      | 0,0% | 0,0%                  | 0,0%                     | 0,0%        | 5,3%   | 41,9%   | 3,1% | 0,0%        |





Hungary-Croatia Cross-border Co-operation Programme



Lessons learnt from the ongoing Interreg V-A programme



Main result indicator:

Number of guest nights in Zone B

- 2023 target: 5% increase
- 2021 value: 57% increase
- Based on 2019 data, not reflecting COVID impacts on 2020-2021!

Most project level indicator targets are <u>already reached or will be met</u>





### Lessons learnt from the ongoing Interreg V-A programme



#### 2021 survey: Change of status and overall programme impacts (scale between -3 and +3)



Interreg Cross-border Co-operation Programme

Hungary-Croatia



## Information to be collected



- Supported types of action, their contribution to the specific objectives, macro-regional strategy (EU Strategy for the Danube Region);
- Definition of indicators (output and result) pre-defined menu;
- Target groups;
- Specific territories targeted;
- Indicative breakdown of funding.







- Why?
  - Abundance of natural and cultural assets still unlocked potentials;
  - Mura-Drava-Danube Transboundary UNESCO Biosphere Reserve;
  - Crossover of cultures;
  - Tourism overnights still concentrated at some large-capacity locations.
- What?
  - Support to diversification: develop "lesser known" and "close-to-nature" destinations (COVID-19);
  - Development of infrastructure and services;
  - Focus on tourism-relevance, instead of mere local development infrastructure;
  - Accompanying green mobility;

Hungary-Croatia

- Generating income at local level.
- How?
  - Open calls and priority (large infrastructure) projects;
  - At the 40 km strip from the border ("Zone B")







The UNESCO Transboundary Biosphere Reserve







Possible territorial focus –

Tourism Handbook "Zone B"





Hungary-Croatia Cross-border Co-operation Programme





#### **Dilemmas, questions**

- How the supported elements will fit to PO4? Social, recovery, resilience-making approach should be promoted.
- Cycling and hiking routes should be treated through a special component?
- Should private businesses be eligible for development of additional services (e-bike charging station, bike reparation toolkit, event venue, wellness etc.)?
- Priority project for Amazon of Europe the longest section is along the HU-HR border.







## Experiences 2014-2020: 2.1 Convert the region's natural and cultural heritage assets to tourism attractions with income generating capabilities

- Very positive feedback on interviews;
- High number of projects (34/125);
- Bicycle paths projects are the most concentrated in the close border area thematic routes are the less concentrated;
- Tourism projects often lacked "real joint" activities;
- Heterogenous institutional background in case of 2.1.2 tourism attractions development projects;
- Outstandingly balanced projects in terms of budget (particularly bicycle paths and thematic routes);
- Slightly higher (6,4%) funding on the Croatian side;
- Insignificantly higher investment-orientation on the Hungarian side.









Preferences according to survey



Which tourism prodcuts you consider the most

important? (6 = most important, 1 = least

Which elements of the tourism products you consider the most important for development? (6 = most important; 1



Which development directions you would promote? (6 = most important;









#### Thank you for your active participation!

